

# SIMULTANEOUS BRICK PARTITIONINGS OF PEANO CONTINUA

I. STASYUK AND E.D. TYMCHATYN

ABSTRACT. Nikiel-Stasyuk-Tuncali-Tymchatyn recently proved that there exists a refining sequence of simultaneous core brick partitions of a compact graph contained in a Peano continuum. In the current note we extend this to the case of two nested Peano continua. This completes the work begun by Thomas of extending the partitioning (of a Peano continuum) results of Bing to simultaneous partitioning of two nested Peano continua. It also verifies a long-standing conjecture of Thomas.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The idea of partitioning a Peano continuum goes back to Menger, Whyburn and Moise (see [3]). Bing proved that a metric space can be partitioned in [1], [2] and [3]. He proved that each Peano continuum has a sequence  $\mathcal{U}_i$  of brick partitions such that  $\mathcal{U}_i$  has mesh less than  $1/i$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{i+1}$  core refines  $\mathcal{U}_i$ . Among his applications of these results Bing proved that every Peano continuum admits an equivalent convex metric.

Thomas [7] proved that each pair of nested Peano continua admits a decreasing sequence of simultaneous brick partitions. In that paper he made two conjectures about nicer simultaneous partitions.

Nikiel-Stasyuk-Tuncali-Tymchatyn [6] proved that every connected, locally arc connected metric space with property S admits an equivalent convex metric thus extending Bing's convexity theorem. In the course of the proof they showed that each pair of nested Peano continua admitted a decreasing sequence of simultaneous brick partitions. This confirmed Thomas' first conjecture in [7] although that was not noticed at the time the above mentioned proof was based on Thomas' work and on the extension of Bing [3, Lemma for Theorem 8] to the case of two nested Peano continua.

On the way to proving their convexity theorem the authors in [6] proved that if  $N$  was a compact, connected graph contained in a Peano continuum  $M$  then there existed a decreasing sequence  $\mathcal{U}_i$  of simultaneous brick partitions of  $M$  and  $N$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_{i+1}$  was a core refinement of  $\mathcal{U}_i$  (see [6, Theorem 6]). The primary purpose of this note is to show that the condition in that theorem that  $N$  be a compact graph can be relaxed to  $N$  is a Peano continuum.

We also strengthen [6, Theorem 4] to allow us to verify Thomas' second conjecture.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54F15.

The authors were supported in part by NSERC grant No. OGP 0005616.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**Definition 2.1.** A metric space  $(M, d)$  has *property S* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a finite cover of  $M$  by connected sets of diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ .

The space  $\mathbb{R}$  in its usual metric does not have property S while  $(0, 1)$  in its usual metric does. So property S is a metric property. If  $(M, d)$  has property S, then it is locally connected and totally bounded. It is known that every locally connected, compact, metric space has property S.

**Definition 2.2.** A finite closed covering  $\mathcal{V}$  of a metric space  $(M, d)$  is a *partition* of  $M$  if the following conditions are satisfied for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{V}$ :

- $V$  and  $\text{int}(V)$  are connected and locally connected, and the first one of them is regular closed while the other is regular open,
- if  $U \neq V$  then  $U \cap V \subset \text{bd}(U) \cap \text{bd}(V)$ .

If the mesh of  $\mathcal{V}$  is less than  $\varepsilon > 0$  then  $\mathcal{V}$  is called an  $\varepsilon$ -partition of  $M$ .

**Remark.** Our definition of partition is different from Bing's but is equivalent to Bing's definition (see [6]).

**Theorem 2.3** (Bing, [1]). *For a metric space  $M$  there is an  $\varepsilon$ -partitioning for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  if and only if  $M$  has property S.*

**Definition 2.4.** We shall say that a partition  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $M$  is a *brick partition* of  $M$  if the following conditions are satisfied for all  $U, V \in \mathcal{V}$ :

- $\text{int}(V)$  is uniformly locally connected;
- $\text{int}(U \cup V)$  is uniformly locally connected.

**Theorem 2.5** (Bing, [1]). *Each Peano continuum (i.e. compact, connected and locally connected metric space) has a sequence  $\{\mathcal{U}_i\}$  of brick partitionings such that  $\mathcal{U}_i$  has mesh less than  $1/i$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{i+1}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_i$ .*

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  be partitions of  $M$  such that  $\mathcal{V}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ . For each element  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(U) &= \{V \in \mathcal{V} : V \subset U\} \\ B(U, \mathcal{V}) &= \{V \in \mathcal{V}(U) : V \cap \text{bd}(U) \neq \emptyset\} \\ I(U, \mathcal{V}) &= \mathcal{V}(U) \setminus B(U, \mathcal{V}) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.6.** We shall say that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a *core refinement* of the partition  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $M$  if  $\mathcal{V}$  is a partition of  $M$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}$  and the following conditions are satisfied for all  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ :

- $\bigcup I(U, \mathcal{V})$  is connected,
- each member of  $B(U, \mathcal{V})$  meets at least one member of  $I(U, \mathcal{V})$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a partition of the connected, locally connected, metric space  $M$  and let  $N$  be a closed, locally connected, connected subset of  $M$  such that  $\mathcal{U}|_N = \{U \cap N \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is a partition of  $N$  and for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $U \cap N = \text{cl}(\text{int}(U) \cap N)$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is called a *simultaneous partition* of  $M$  and  $N$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a core refinement of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{V}|_N = \{V \cap N \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\}$  is a core refinement of  $\mathcal{U}|_N$ . Then we say that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a *simultaneous core refinement* of  $\mathcal{U}$  with respect to  $M$  and  $N$ .

**Theorem 2.8** (Thomas, [7]). *Let  $M$  be a Peano continuum and  $N$  a Peano subcontinuum of  $M$ . Then there exists a sequence of simultaneous core partitionings  $\mathcal{U}_i$  of  $M$  and  $N$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_i$  has mesh less than  $1/i$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{i+1}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}_i$ .*

## 3. MAIN RESULT

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be Peano continua with  $N \subset M$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a simultaneous brick partition of  $M$  and  $N$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a simultaneous core brick partition  $\mathcal{V}$  with respect to  $M$  and  $N$  such that  $\mathcal{V}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\text{mesh}\mathcal{V} < \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $\mathcal{U}'$  be a simultaneous brick  $\varepsilon$ -partition of  $M$  and  $N$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}$  by Theorem 5 from [6].

Just suppose that there exist adjacent  $U, V \in \mathcal{U}'$  with  $U \cap N \neq \emptyset \neq V \cap N$  but  $U \cap V \cap N = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a simultaneous brick partition of  $M$  and  $N$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}'$  and such that  $\text{st}(U \cap V, \mathcal{W}) \cap N = \emptyset$ . This is possible because  $U \cap V$  and  $N$  are compact and disjoint. Let  $U_N = \text{st}(N \cap U, \mathcal{W}(U))$ . Then  $U_N$  is connected because  $N \cap U$  is connected. Let  $\{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$  be the components of  $U \setminus U_N$ . Notice that  $\text{cl}(C_i) \cap N = \emptyset$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{U}^* = (\mathcal{U}' \setminus \{U\}) \cup \{U_N, \text{cl}(C_1), \dots, \text{cl}(C_n)\}$$

is a simultaneous brick  $\varepsilon$ -partition of  $M$  and  $N$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}$  and which has at least one fewer pairs of adjacent elements each of which meets  $N$  but which contains no point of  $N$  in their intersection. By the finiteness of  $\mathcal{U}'$  we may suppose that if  $U$  and  $V$  are adjacent elements of  $\mathcal{U}'$  each of which meets  $N$  then  $U \cap V \cap N \neq \emptyset$ .

For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  let  $T_{U,N}$  be a compact connected graph in  $\text{int}(U) \cap N$  such that  $T_{U,N} \cap \text{int}(V)$  is connected and non-empty for each  $V \in \mathcal{U}'(U)$  whenever  $N \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Also  $V, W \in \mathcal{U}'(U)$  are adjacent and  $V \cap N \neq \emptyset \neq W \cap N$  then

$$(\dagger) \quad \emptyset \neq T_{U,N} \cap V \cap W \subset \text{int}_N((V \cup W) \cap N).$$

We require  $T_U \cap V \cap N$  connected for each  $V \in \mathcal{U}'(U)$  and  $T_U \cap N$  is connected.

For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  let  $T_U$  be a compact connected graph in  $\text{int}(U)$  such that  $T_{U,N} \subset T_U$ ,  $T_U \cap \text{int}(V)$  is non-empty and connected and  $T_U \cap V$  is connected for each  $V \in \mathcal{U}'(U)$ . If  $V, W \in \mathcal{U}'(U)$  are adjacent and  $T_U \cap V \cap W \neq \emptyset$  then  $\emptyset \neq T_U \cap V \cap W \subset \text{int}(V \cup W)$ .

Let

$$0 < \delta < \frac{1}{3} \min\{d(T_U \cup \bigcup I(U, \mathcal{U}'), \text{bd}(U)) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{U}''$  be a simultaneous brick  $\delta$ -partition of  $M$  and  $N$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}'$  and is such that

$$(\dagger\dagger) \quad \text{if } V, W \in \mathcal{U}'' \text{ are adjacent and each meets } N \text{ then so does } V \cap W.$$

For each  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $V \in B(U, \mathcal{U}')$  let

$$U_c = \text{st}\left(T_U \cup \bigcup I(U, \mathcal{U}'), \mathcal{U}''\right),$$

$$S_V = \text{st}(T_U \cap V, \mathcal{U}''(V))$$

and

$$V_c = S_V \cup \{K \mid K \text{ component of } V \setminus S_V, K \cap \text{bd}(U) = \emptyset\}.$$

Note that  $U_c$ ,  $U_c \cap N$ ,  $V_c$  and  $V_c \cap N$  are connected for each  $V \in \mathcal{U}'(U)$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  because  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}'$  and  $\mathcal{U}''$  are simultaneous brick partitions,  $\mathcal{U}'$  satisfies  $(\dagger)$ ,  $\mathcal{U}''$  satisfies  $(\dagger\dagger)$  and by construction.

If  $K$  is a component of  $V \setminus S_V$  then  $\text{cl}(K) \cap S_V \neq \emptyset$  because  $V$  is connected and  $S_V$  is closed. Hence,  $V_c$  is connected.

If  $K$  is a component of  $V \setminus S_V$  which meets  $N$  then either  $N \cap K = N \cap V \subset K$  as  $N \cap V$  is connected or  $\text{cl}(K \cap N) \cap S_V \neq \emptyset$ . In the latter case for each component  $L$  of  $N \cap K$ ,  $\text{cl}(L) \cap S_V \neq \emptyset$  by the boundary bumping theorem because  $N \cap V$  is connected and meets  $S_V$ . So  $N \cap V_c$  is connected.

Suppose now that there exists  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $V \in B(U, \mathcal{U}')$  and a component  $K$  of  $(N \cap V) \setminus V_c$  such that  $K \cap \text{bd}(U) = \emptyset$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \delta' < \frac{1}{3} \min \{d(K, \text{bd}(U)) \mid K \text{ component of } (V \cap N) \setminus V_c, K \cap \text{bd}(U) = \emptyset, \\ V \in B(U, \mathcal{U}'), U \in \mathcal{U}\} \cup \{d(K, L) \mid K, L \text{ component of } (V \cap N) \setminus V_c, \\ \text{cl}(K) \cap \text{cl}(L) = \emptyset, V \in B(U, \mathcal{U}'), U \in \mathcal{U}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathcal{U}'''$  be a simultaneous brick  $\delta'$ -partition of  $M$  and  $N$  that refines  $\mathcal{U}''$ . If  $V \in B(U, \mathcal{U}')$  with  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  let

$$V'_c = V_c \cup \bigcup \{ \text{st}(\text{cl}(K), \mathcal{U}'''(V)) \mid K \text{ component of } (V \cap N) \setminus V_c, K \cap \text{bd}(U) = \emptyset \}.$$

Note that  $V'_c$  is closed and connected and  $V'_c \cap N$  is connected by the same reasoning as for  $V_c \cap N$ . Let

$$V_c'' = V'_c \cup \bigcup \{W \mid W \text{ component of } V \setminus V'_c, W \cap \text{bd}(U) = \emptyset\}.$$

If  $V \cap N \neq \emptyset$  then  $V_c'' \cap N = V'_c \cap N$  because  $V \cap N$  is connected and  $V'_c \cap N \neq \emptyset$  and by a similar argument to the one for  $V_c \cap N$ . Also,  $V_c''$  is connected. We set  $V_c'' \in I(U, \mathcal{V})$  where  $\mathcal{V}$  is the desired partition.

Let  $\{K_{V,1}, \dots, K_{V,n_V}\}$  be the components of  $V \setminus V_c''$  such that  $K_{V,i} \cap N$  meets  $\text{bd}(U)$ . Note that  $\text{cl}(K_{V,i} \cap N) \cap (V_c'' \cap N) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $L_{V,1}, \dots, L_{V,m_V}$  be the components of

$$V \setminus (V_c'' \cup \text{cl}(K_{V,1}) \cup \dots \cup \text{cl}(K_{V,n_V})).$$

Then  $\text{cl}(L_{V,i})$  meets  $V_c''$  since  $V$  is connected. Also note that  $L_{V,i} \cap \text{bd}(U) \neq \emptyset$  and  $L_{V,i} \cap N = \emptyset$ . We set  $\text{cl}(L_{V,i}) \in B(U, \mathcal{V})$ .

Generally we define the brick partition  $\mathcal{V}$  refining  $\mathcal{U}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} = & \bigcup \{I(U, \mathcal{U}') \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\} \cup \bigcup \{V \in B(U, \mathcal{U}') \mid V \cap N = \emptyset, U \in \mathcal{U}\} \cup \\ & \bigcup \{\text{cl}(K_{V,i}) \mid K_{V,i} \subset V \in B(U, \mathcal{U}'), V \cap N \neq \emptyset, U \in \mathcal{U}\} \cup \\ & \bigcup \{\text{cl}(L_{V,i}) \mid L_{V,i} \subset V, V \in B(U, \mathcal{U}'), U \in \mathcal{U}\} \cup \\ & \bigcup \{V_c'' \mid V \in B(U, \mathcal{U}'), U \in \mathcal{U}\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the construction we see that if  $V \in I(U, \mathcal{U}')$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  then  $V \in I(U, \mathcal{V})$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{V}$  is the required simultaneous core brick  $\varepsilon$ -partition of  $M$  and  $N$  which refines  $\mathcal{U}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $N \subset M$  be Peano continua and let  $H$  and  $K$  be disjoint closed subsets of  $M$ . Then there is a continuous function  $T: M \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that*

- 1)  $T(H) = 0$  and  $T(K) = 1$ ,
- 2) for each connected and non-degenerate set  $W \subset [0, 1]$ , the sets

$$T^{-1}(W) \text{ and } T^{-1}(W) \cap N$$

have property  $S$ ,

3) for all but at most countably many  $x \in [0, 1]$  the sets

$$T^{-1}([0, x]), T^{-1}([0, x]) \cap N, T^{-1}((x, 1]) \text{ and } T^{-1}((x, 1]) \cap N$$

are uniformly locally connected,

4) for all but at most countably many  $x \in [0, 1]$  the sets

$$\text{cl}(T^{-1}([0, x]) \cap N) = T^{-1}([0, x]) \cap N$$

and

$$T^{-1}([x, 1]) \cap N = \text{cl}(T^{-1}((x, 1]) \cap N).$$

The proof is essentially the same as before. This verifies Thomas's second conjecture.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.H. Bing, *Partitioning a set*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 1101–1110.
- [2] R.H. Bing, *Complementary domains of continuous curves*, Fund. Math. **36** (1949), 303–318.
- [3] R.H. Bing, *Partitioning continuous curves*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **58** (1952), 536–556.
- [4] R.H. Bing and E.E. Floyd, *Coverings with connected intersections*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1950), 387–391.
- [5] E.E. Moise, *Grille decomposition and convexification theorems for compact metric locally connected continua*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 1111–1121.
- [6] J.Nikiel, I. Stasyuk, M. Tuncali, E.D. Tymchatyn, *Convex metrics on non-convex metrics*, submitted.
- [7] G.H.M. Thomas, *Simultaneous partitionings of two sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1953), 69–79.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, 100 COLLEGE DRIVE, BOX 5002, NORTH BAY, ON, 51B 8L7, CANADA

*E-mail address:* [ihors@nipissingu.ca](mailto:ihors@nipissingu.ca)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, McLEAN HALL, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, 106 WIGGINS ROAD, SASKATOON, SK S7N 5E6, CANADA

*E-mail address:* [tymchat@math.usask.ca](mailto:tymchat@math.usask.ca)